

Adults with Care and Support Needs JOINT MANAGEMENT GROUP

Notes of meeting held on 29th September– Virtual Meeting

Present Stephen Chandler, Corporate Director for Adult Services, OCC (acting Chair)
 Julia Boyce, Assistant Director of Finance, OCCG
 Chris Walkling, Strategic Commissioning Manager, LD, OCC/OCCG
 Steve Thomas, Performance & Information Manager, OCC
 Karen Fuller, Deputy Director for Adult Social Care, OCC
 Kirsten Prance, Associate Clinical Director of Learning Disability Services, Oxford Health
 Kathy Wilcox, Finance Business Partner, OCC
 Diane Hedges, Chief Operating Officer and Deputy Chief Executive, OCCG

Julieta Estremadoyro, Partnership Board Officer, OCC

Apologies Lorna Baxter, Director of Finance, OCC (Kathy Wilcox deputising)
 Debbie Richards, Managing Director, Mental Health, Oxford Health
 David Chapman, Locality Clinical Director OCCG (Diane Hedge deputising)
 Ele Crichton, Lead for Adults, Commissioning & Markets, OCC
 Robyn Noonan, Service Manager, OCC
 Gareth Kenworthy, Director of Finance, OCCG (Julia Boyce deputising)
 Juliet Long, Lead Commissioning Manager – Mental Health, OCCG

1.	Welcome and Introductions	Lead
2.	Apologies for Absence and Temporary Appointments <i>As per above</i>	
3.	Minutes, Matters Arising and Action Log The minutes of the meeting on 21 st July were approved. Actions update: <u>Item 4 - Performance, Activity and Finance report</u> 4.1 Steve to bring a breakdown of the higher cost people into the slide. It is in the presentation. Complete	

	<p>4.2 Juliet Long to incorporate more information that is already prepared/available regarding Oxford Health. Pending</p> <p><i>Diane Hedge offered Steve to provide the name of the person responsible for the OH Contract.</i></p> <p><u>Item 8 - Adults' strategic approach & governance structure</u> <i>Juliet Long and Ele Crichton to bring together a sub-group to discuss refreshing the strategy. Pending</i></p>	<p>JL</p> <p>JL/ EC</p>
4.	<p>Performance, Activity and Finance report</p> <p>Steve Thomas and Kathy Wilcox referred to the document <i>Outcomes, Outputs and Cost Report Period 5 2020/21</i> in the agenda pack.</p> <p>Slide 3 – Delivering our priorities – Three were assessed to be on target subject to the following comments:</p> <p><i>Increase the number of people supported at home.</i> It is in green but in the light of <i>LD residential care project update</i> later in the agenda, this should be changed to amber as there has been an increase in adults with LD in care homes due to a delay in that project. There are also two new people with LD and autism admitted to specialist in-patient beds this year.</p> <p><i>Improve the quality and sustainability of care providers in Oxfordshire,</i> also green. This is based on CQC routine inspections and these have been suspended this year. However, the standard has always been good.</p> <p><i>Involve more local people and organisation in the development of services.</i> <i>Green reflecting service user engagement with the re-tendering of supported living and this why is on green</i></p> <p>Measures rated as amber: <i>Identifying key group and design integrated services to meet their needs.</i> Oxfordshire Strategy needs to be developed.</p> <p><i>Improving the satisfaction of services users</i> – This is based on a national survey. LD services have seen an improvement, but they are still below the national target.</p> <p><i>Improve access to mental health support.</i> There is concern with the COVID 19 situation that there will be an increase pressure in mental health services.</p> <p>Stephen noted that because of the impact of COVID-19,, and the move to virtual assessment and support this should be assessed as red. . Diane Hedges confirmed that the target to access services is 12%.</p> <p>Steve pointed out that the report is based on the Integrated Performance Report which is data published elsewhere. He will liaise with Juliet to explore</p>	

more and check if the interpretation is correct, though it is consistent with the national figure of access to MH services.

Action: Steve Thomas to liaise with Juliet Long to get further information on the 12% figure on access to mental health services.

ST/
JL

Increase the number of people taking part in meaningful activity is rated as amber but probably should be green. Target of 10% of people with a learning disability in paid employment. Oxfordshire rate is 9.3% but the national average is 5%.

Improve access to health screening programmes to reduce health inequalities. The screening programmes are paused so no rating available.

Slide 4 – Stephen asked why the two measures regarding *Improve access to health screening programmes to reduce health inequalities* are not rated as there has been some activity continuing.

Chris Walkling commented that the impact of COVID-19 should not be underestimated as it has disproportionality affected screenings that are mainly done in Quarter 4.

Action: Juliet Long to clarify the data regarding these measures.

Slide 8 – LD Activity Summary shows how many people are supported in the pool based on snapshots of activity over the last 3 months. Through the year the number of people with Learning Disabilities supported by the pool has been broadly constant. Small reduction in the number in residential care.

Slide 11 – People and cost by age – noted 11 people aged under 25 with high cost packages.

Stephen asked about the cost comparison vs age. He would have expected the cost to reduce as people become older.

Action: Steve to bring more information. Ele and Robyn to share some case studies looking at service users over their lifetimes

ST/
EC/
RN

Slide 12 – Benchmarking – Finance & Performance data has been submitted to ADASS in October. Outputs from south east dashboard will be shared when they are available.

Slide 17 – Mental Health: number of people and cost by age
Diane would like to know the comparison between the OCC residential cost is vs the OBC contracts.

Karen clarified that not everybody in these figures referred to abated people (people supported outside the OBC contract). Some of these are paid by OCC but recharge to OH.

	<p>Action: Steve Thomas and Stephen Rowles to clarify the coding of abated people/OBC contract cases. Steve to liaise with Juliet Long for further clarification.</p> <p>Finance slides: Slide 24 – Summary – Forecast across pool has increased by £0.3m since P4. Forecast close to break even.</p> <p>Slide 25 – Risk Share reflects roll forward of 2019/20 arrangements. Update for 2020/21 will be shared at the next meeting.</p> <p>Slide 26 – LD. Care Homes - small increase in out of county care package costs due to inflationary uplifts. Supported living - activity stable but reduction in forecast reflects lower than anticipated costs to mitigate nighttime fire risk</p> <p>Slide 28 – MH Finance Detail –one service user moved in the into the abated cohort with 5 months of retrospective costs.</p> <p>Action: Julia Boyce to find out how it was decided to include this client with retrospective cost (Stephen’s request)</p>	<p>ST/ SR/ JL</p> <p>JB</p>
5.	<p>Risk Share arrangement 2020/21</p> <p>Julia Boyce provided a verbal update</p> <p>Because of the COVID-19 pandemic OCCG has been operating under a temporary financial regime during the first half of 2020/21 but has now been notified of funding for the rest of the financial year.</p> <p>The health contribution to the pool will be confirmed and the associated risk share for 2020/21 brought to the next JMG for agreement.</p> <p>Action: Julia to share the health contribution for 2020/21.</p> <p>Action: Stephen, Gareth, Kathy and Julia to discuss and agree the proposed risk share arrangements.</p>	<p>JB</p> <p>SC/ GK/ KW/ JB</p>
6.	<p>Extension/new Section 75 agreement</p> <p>Ele Crichton could not attend to present this item.</p> <p>Kathy Wilcox clarified that the existing Section 75 agreement runs until the end of March 2021. Need to align the Section 75 agreement for 2021/22 onwards with forward thinking on the wider health and wellbeing outcomes and changes to governance structures arising from the BOB ICS.</p>	
7.	<p>LD residential care project update</p> <p>Ele Crichton could not attend to present this item.</p>	

	<p>Chris Walkling noted that this project has been underway for some time.</p> <p>Initially carried out a desktop reviews of all LD service users in out of county residential care. From that cohort, a smaller group of people have been excluded from the on-going review either because of their needs or because they or do not to want to move back to Oxfordshire because they have links to the areas, they are living in.</p> <p>A small cohort of people who would like to move back to Oxfordshire has been identified. This group has relatively high needs. Commissioning of their care is in the scope of the current activity and it is in procurement at the moment.</p> <p>This workstream has been affected by COVID 19. Among these: finding appropriate housing has been delayed as providers have failed to bring forward that accommodation.</p> <p>Additional social care resources have been committed to mitigate some of the risk associated with the project to 2.5fte agency social workers to assess people in scope. More recently the LD capacity has been increased by bringing a qualified social worker to not just lead in this project and managed the agency social workers, but also work in a number of other areas.</p> <p>The project is expecting to generate an estimated saving of £1,060,00 to be delivered by 2022.</p> <p>Action: Ele Crichton to come back and provide a further update on this paper.</p>	EC
8.	<p>AOB and Forward Plan</p> <p>Diane Hedges raised a couple of questions around value for money:</p> <p>Can we compare the value of direct payments in LD and what those are funding compared to other provision?</p> <p>Prices of the out of county placement, are these cheaper or expensive that the Oxfordshire ones?</p> <p>There is a need for more reflection on the metrics. Diane think that more value would be achieved if more of this information is considered.</p> <p>Action: Steve Thomas will take away the concern on Direct Payments as they do not have the information on what this is paying. He will ask Karen to describe the methodology on how to compare the value of a direct payment in relation to an OCC services.</p>	ST/ KF